

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/996,769

Applicant(s)

Farrell

Examiner

Joseph Weiss

Art Unit

3761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph Weiss

(3) _____

(2) Caroline Dennison

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 1, 2003Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 8

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the 35 USC 112(2) rejections to claim 7 and applicant's proposed amendmernt, the amendment appears to resolve all issues. Also discussed the 35 USC 112(1) rejection to claim 8, and noted that there will be a need to provide evidence as to what one of ordinary skill in the art would understand is a "conventional" stroke detector in an apparatus at the time of filing to assist in resolving the rejection. In regards to the 35 USC 102 rejection, the position is that the prior art device having all the structure of the instantly claimed device, thus it would be fully capable of performing the intended uses set forth by applicant. Regarding the 35 USC 103 rejection, applicant asserts there is no motivation to combine the prior art references used.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required